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Participant Outcomes

• Understand the importance of data literacy
• Understand best practices for writing about data
• Understand how to shape narratives for the intended audience
Agenda

• Data Literacy Discussion
• Best Practices for Writing About Data
• Gallery Walk
• Final Questions
Data Literacy Discussion
Background

• SEAs are providing data to a much broader audience
• SEAs are trying to give people the ability to analyze and use data
• Study results showed there are many people who are not confident in their ability to read, understand, work with, and use data
• People believe data helps them do better in their job and provides credibility (Data Science Central).
Terminology
Definitions

- **Data literacy**: The ability to read, work with, analyze, and argue with data (D’Ignazio and Bhargava 2016).

- **Data literacy gap**: Emerges when a group of data users have a cross-functional range of skills—some very technical and analytical—and others do not. Collectively, they have trouble finding a shared understanding of what the data are and how to use them effectively (Data Science Central 2017).

- **Data democratization**: Everyone has access to data and there are no barriers, human or technical, that create a bottleneck at the gateway to accessing them (Marr 2017).
Data Literacy Components
Data Literacy Components and Competencies

• Understanding Data
  – What is data?
  – What is the role of data in special education?

• Finding and Obtaining Data
  – Understand the data needed to answer questions
  – Know where to find the data
Data Literacy Components and Competencies (cont.)

• Reading, Interpreting, and Evaluating Data
  – Critically assess sources and the data they contain
  – Be aware of how someone is presenting the data and how to read charts and graphs

• Using Data
  – Have knowledge and understanding of statistics
  – Be able to make a data analysis plan
  – Effectively apply data to learning, decisionmaking, or problem solving
How can data help illuminate the complex picture of what is happening?

How can we make that data accessible for a wide audience?
Writing about data effectively is a study in what can be done with clear language to make difficult things accessible.
So How Do We Make Difficult Things Accessible?

• Understand
  – The data
  – The audience
  – Communication needs

• Create checklists to ensure consistency
Create a Plan

• Before writing, ask yourself
  – What is the goal?
  – Who is the audience?
  – What is the topic of the text?
  – What is the data source?
  – What is the message?
  – What are the equity considerations for this data?
  – What questions do these data address?
Data Descriptions

• When writing about a demographic category (such as race/ethnicity) did you address each variable?
• Does a sentence with a percentage in it clearly state what that percentage refers to?
• Did you address what you were expected to address?
• Does the text
  – Help people understand the data?
  – Address action steps from results when it applies?
  – Describe what happened?
  – Describe what needs to happen?
Data Descriptions (cont.)

- Does the description provide context for what you are examining through data?
- Is there appropriate context for the data itself?
  - Did you include the count and description of the whole population?
  - Is there a description of calculations you used for the data?
Data Visualizations

• Is there a title for the visualization?
• What data is it showing?
• How is it showing the data?
• How did you collect the data?
• What is the source of the data?
• Is the purpose for showing the data clear?
• Does the visualization illuminate the message?
• Are you using tables and visualizations as a guideline?
Reviewing

• Is the writing clear and concise?
• Is the tone warm and enthusiastic?
• Does it use an active voice?
• Are there acronyms where there shouldn’t be?
• Is there jargon that you could take out?
• Did you write percentages in a way that is consistent?
• Did you use the terms percentage and percent point correctly?
• Did you write results with an equity lens?
• Is the language direct?
Gallery Walk
Gallery Walk Instructions

• We will review each “exhibit” as a group
• Mark them up with one of the following
  – I like
  – I wonder
  – I’d edit...
• Group discussion
Gallery Walk Breakout

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Include the State’s analyses of the extent to which the response data are representative of the demographics of youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school.

The State used statistical significance testing to determine if one group was over- or under-represented based on the response rate. No significant differences were found by the gender, disability, or exit reason of the youth. Significant differences were found in response rates exiers who were Hispanic. Exiers who were Hispanic (24%) were less likely to respond than exiers who were black (35%) or white (46%). Although Hispanic exiers were less likely to respond than other exiers, the overall results are representative of the state because there were very few significant differences in the actual responses of Hispanic students.

While our results are representative, we will take additional steps to increase responses from Hispanic youth. The post school outcomes survey is available in Spanish, but we will add additional avenues for Spanish language outreach.

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
Gallery Walk Breakout (cont.)

Types of Unilateral Removals Nationally

- Definitions:
  - Unilateral removal with examples and non examples
  - Do we need the word, unilateral? Can't we just say reasons for removal?

- Data labels would be nice!

- Would percentages be more insightful?

- What about the other disability categories?

- Ages?

- For what year

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Include the State’s analyses of the extent to which the demographics of the parents responding are representative of the demographics of children receiving special education services.

Asian families were the least likely to respond to the survey with only 27.58% of Asian families who received a survey responded, compared to over 51% of families of other race/ethnicities responding to the survey. Data analysis revealed that African American or Black families were more likely to respond to the family survey (65% of African American or Black families surveyed responded to the survey), they were also the least likely of all populations to have surveys unable to be delivered.

Asian families represent 1.56% of the State’s Early Intervention population within this year’s survey cohort, but only 0.57% of survey responses and represented 3.12% of all undeliverable responses. African American or Black families represent 2.28% of the State’s Early Intervention population within this year’s survey cohort and represented 2.49% of survey responses. Hispanic families represent 1.83% of the State’s Early Intervention population within this year’s survey cohort, and 1.48% of survey responses. Two or more races represent 6.57% of the State’s Early Intervention population within this year’s survey cohort and represented 6.0% of survey responses.

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
Gallery Walk Breakout (cont.)

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).

Needs more labels

Weird data ranges!

Percentages?

Starting at 80?

What is this measuring?
What kind of parent involvement?

different colors are unnecessary and confusing

Colors don’t mean much here!

What does the color of the bars mean?

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
In 2020, 162,470 children and youth ages Birth – 20 received special education services.
• Of those 136,454 were enrolled in grades K-12.
• This represents 22.3% of students enrolled out of 611,913 total students.

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
Gallery Walk Breakout (cont.)

Stakeholder Report

The State’s percent of youth with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) graduating from high school with a regular high school diploma has increased from FFY 2014 (66.50%) to FFY 2019 (71.02%). The State met or exceeded the targets for FFYs 2014, 2015, and 2017. The State did not meet the targets for FFYs 2016, 2018, and 2019. The graduation percentage increased for students in all disability categories for the same time period. See Table 1.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>Percent Graduating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>66.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>67.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>70.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>69.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>70.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>71.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Third-party application (Jamboard).
Final Questions
Sources


Contact Us

• Laura Kuchle, lkuchle@air.org
• Audrey Rudick, audrey.rudick@aemcorp.com
• Tony Ruggiero, tony.ruggiero@aemcorp.com
What actions will you take to commit to being a Data Quality Influencer?
For More Information

Visit the IDC website
http://ideadata.org/

Follow us on Twitter
https://twitter.com/ideadatacenter

Follow us on LinkedIn
http://www.linkedin.com/company/idea-data-center
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