



# State Part B Teams Discuss Their Experiences With the SSIP and SIMR Process





# **SSIP Interactive Institutes**

**Albuquerque, NM; April 29-30, 2015**

**Denise Koscielniak, Director of Federal Programs, NM**

**Lisa Darnold, Director of Best Regional Programs &  
Best Practices, OR**

**Dona Meinders, IDC, NCSI**

**Mary Watson, IDC**



**Jacksonville, FL; May 12-13, 2015**  
**Monica Verra-Tirado, State Director, FL**  
**John Eisenberg, State Director, VA**  
**Beth Harrison, IDC**  
**Laura Snyder, IDC**

**Chicago, IL; May 27-28, 2015**  
**Angela Denning, State Director, AZ**  
**Bill Hussey, State Director, NC**  
**Beth Harrison, IDC**  
**Laura Snyder, IDC**

# Part B State Team Agenda

- *Team Introductions – Roles and Backgrounds*
- *Discussion Questions Around Phase I Experiences*
  - *Stakeholders*
  - *Infrastructure Analysis*
  - *Data Analysis*
  - *SIMR*
- *Discussions Questions Around Phase II Plans*
- *Phase III Coming Next year....*
- *Questions From the Audience*

# Part B State Team

## SSIP Phases and Timelines

- Phase I: *Analysis*  
Year 1, FFY 2013  
Due April 2015
- Phase II: *Plan*  
Year 2, FFY 2014  
Due February 2016
- Phase III: *Implementation and Evaluation*  
Years 3-6, FFY 2015-2018  
Due February 2017 – February 2020

# Part B SSIP

## *Phase I – Analysis*

### Required Components of Phase I

- Data Analysis
- Description of Infrastructure to Support Improvement and Build Capacity
- State-Identified Measurable Result (SIMR)
- Selection of Coherent Improvement Strategies
- Theory of Action (ToA)

# Part B State Team

## *Phase I Questions*

### Stakeholders:

- How did your state select *stakeholders* for development of the *SSIP*?
- In retrospect, were there interest groups or perspectives not represented in the stakeholders that should have been?
- How was your stakeholder group involved in the process?
  - How often did the stakeholder group meet?
  - What was their interaction with your State Team?
  - Did the stakeholder group serve in an advisory capacity or did they make decisions for the *SSIP*?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase I Questions*

### Data Analysis:

- How did your State Team determine what data must be reviewed and analyzed to develop the SSIP?
- Were there concerns identified with the data analysis about data quality, missing data, or the data collection process?
  - What were those concerns?
  - How were those concerns addressed?
- What were the issues revealed by the data analysis that the State Team had to consider and prioritize?
- What did the data analysis reveal that led to the selection of your state's SIMR?



# Part B State Team

## *Phase I Questions*

### Infrastructure Analysis:

- Which components of your state's infrastructure were most challenging to describe in the SSIP and why?  
*(Governance, Fiscal, Quality Standards, Professional Development, Data, Technical Assistance, and Accountability)*
- From the review of the infrastructure analysis, what concerns did your State Team identify?
- What strengths did your State Team identify?
- How did this review contribute to the development of your *SIMR*?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase I Questions*

### SIMR:

- What is your state's SIMR?
- Were there multiple issues from the infrastructure and data analysis that made it difficult to select the SIMR?
- How did your State Team settle on its SIMR?
  - Impact of Data
  - State Initiatives
  - Other

# Part B State Team

## *Phase I Questions*

### Coherent Improvement Strategies:

- Describe the process your State Team used to develop its Coherent Improvement Strategies.
- How are they based on and connected to the findings of the Data and Infrastructure Analysis?

### Theory of Action (ToA):

- How did the data analysis affect development of the ToA?
- How does your state's ToA connect the dots of your Data Analysis, Infrastructure description, SIMR, and Coherent Improvement Strategies?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II – Overview*

*During Phase II, states will submit a multi-year plan addressing infrastructure development, supporting early intervention service (EIS) programs and local education agencies (LEA) in implementing evidenced-based practices (EBPs), and an evaluation plan that will lead to measureable improvement in the SIMR.*

# Part B SSIP

## *Phase II – Plan (Development)*

### Required Components of Phase II Include:

- Multi-year Plan addressing:
  - Infrastructure Development
  - Supports for EIS Programs/LEAs in Implementing EBPs
  - Evaluation Plan

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Infrastructure Development:

- What specific improvements to your state's infrastructure are envisioned to better support EIS programs/LEAs to implement and scale up EBPs to improve the SIMR?
- How will you identify who in your state will implement infrastructure changes, the resources needed, expected outcomes, and timelines?
- How does your state plan to involve LEAs, the state education agency (SEA), and other state agencies in this work?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Infrastructure Development (cont'd):

- What does your state anticipate being the most challenging aspect of infrastructure change and development?
- What additional tools, resources, and other supports are needed for your plan to have a positive impact?
- What additional types of resources are needed to encourage, support, and require local implementation of EBPs?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Supports for LEAs in Implementing EBPs:

- What steps and activities are being planned in your state to implement coherent improvement strategies?
- Will these steps and activities:
  - address communication strategies and stakeholder involvement?
  - describe how barriers will be identified and handled?
  - specify who will be in charge of implementation?
  - describe how activities will be implemented with fidelity?
  - describe the resources to be used?
  - include a plan to measure expected outcomes?
  - have a timeline for completion?



# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Supports for LEAs in Implementing EBPs (cont'd):

- What conversations has your state had related to how to engage multiple offices including the SEA and other state agencies in how to support EIS programs/LEAs in scaling up and sustaining EBPs implemented with fidelity?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Evaluation Plan:

- Is the evaluation plan driven directly from the *If/Then* Statements in the ToA?
- What would be an example of a short-term and a long-term objective to measure implementation and impact will be included in the evaluation plan?
- Will the evaluation plan align with ToA and other components of the SSIP?
- How will the plan address methods to collect and analyze data to evaluate implementation and outcomes?

# Part B State Team

## *Phase II Questions*

### Evaluation Plan (cont'd):

- Discuss how the state will use results to:
  - examine effectiveness of implementation of the SIMR
  - measure progress toward improvement in the SIMR
  - make modifications to SSIP, as necessary
- Address how results/information will be disseminated to stakeholders

# Part B SSIP

## *Phase III – Evaluation and Implementation*

### Required Components of Phase III

- Reporting on Progress, Including
  - Results of Ongoing Evaluation
  - Extent of Progress
- Revisions to the SSIP

*To Be Discussed.... Next Year??*

# For More Information



**Visit the IDC website**

<http://ideadata.org/>



**Follow us on Twitter**

<https://twitter.com/ideadatacenter>

This presentation was supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Education, #H373Y130002. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

**Project Officers:** Richelle Davis and Meredith Miceli

