

TABLE 8

REPORT ON IDEA PART B MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REDUCTION (34 CFR §300.205(a)) AND COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (34 CFR §300.226)

FFY 2009

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1820-0689. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 66 hours per local educational agency (LEA) and 48 hours per State educational agency (SEA) response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202-7100.

States must submit electronic versions of complete data to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) via its data contractor. OSEP will provide online, electronic submission instructions to facilitate this process.

Authorization: P.L. 108-446, §§613(f)(4) and 618(a)(3)

Due Date: **May 1, 2011**

Sampling Allowed: No

General Instructions

1. No sampling is permitted for this data collection.
2. Report whole dollar amounts.
3. **Report on every local educational agency (LEA) and educational service agency (ESA) that receives a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state.**
4. **In providing data for this collection, the State is to submit complete and unsuppressed data.**

Selected Definitions

National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES) identification number – The 7-character NCES LEA ID number that is used to uniquely identify a school district. These NCES ID numbers are also used to identify LEAs when entering data into the EDEN system.

Specific Instructions for Section A: LEA Allocations

In Column A1A, list the name of each LEA and ESA that receives a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state.

In Column A1B, list the NCES identification number for each LEA and ESA that receives a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state. If the ESAs in your state do not have NCES identification numbers, please insert a -9 in this column.

In Column A2A, report the total Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008 allocation of Section 611 funds each LEA or ESA received for FFY 2008 (i.e., funds available on July 1, 2008 and October 1, 2008).

In Column A2B, report the total FFY 2009 allocation of Section 611 funds each LEA or ESA received for FFY 2009 (i.e., funds available April 1, 2009, July 1, 2009, September 30, 2009, and October 1, 2009). This must include the IDEA regular allocation combined with the IDEA ARRA allocation.

In Column A2C, the dollar amount increase in the total allocation of Section 611 funds from FFY 2008 to FFY 2009 will be auto-calculated for each LEA or ESA. However, please verify the figures that are auto-calculated are correct.

In Column A3A, report the total FFY 2008 allocation of Section 619 funds each LEA or ESA received for FFY 2008 (i.e., funds available July 1, 2008).

In Column A3B, report the total FFY 2009 allocation of Section 619 funds each LEA or ESA received for FFY 2009 (i.e., funds available April 1, 2009 and July 1, 2009). This must include the IDEA regular allocation combined with the IDEA ARRA allocation.

In Column A3C, the dollar amount increase in the total allocation of Section 619 funds from FFY 2008 to FFY 2009 will be auto-calculated for each LEA or ESA. However, please verify the figures that are auto-calculated are correct.

In Column A4, the total (combined) dollar amount of Section 611 and 619 allocations from FFY 2009 will be auto-calculated for each LEA or ESA. However, please verify the figures that are auto-calculated are correct.

In Column A5, 15% of the total (combined) dollar amount of Section 611 and 619 allocations from FFY 2009 will be auto-calculated for each LEA or ESA. However, please verify the percentages that are auto-calculated are correct.

Specific Instructions for Section B: Maintenance of Effort Reduction

In Column B1A, list the name of each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 subgrant from your state.

In Column B1B, list the NCES identification number for each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 subgrant from your state. If the ESAs in your state do not have NCES identification numbers, please insert a -9 in this column.

Do not report any percentages in Column B4. However, please verify the percentages that are auto-calculated are correct.

Specific Instructions for Section C: Provision of Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS)

In Column C1A, list the name of each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state. An LEA or ESA should be included in the list even if that LEA or ESA does not use IDEA funds for CEIS (voluntary or required). **If the CEIS provisions (voluntary or required) in IDEA do not apply to an LEA or ESA that receives a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant, please list the LEA or ESA and insert -9's in Columns C2A, C2B, C3A, and C3B.**

In Column C1B, list the NCES identification number for each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state. An LEA or ESA should be included in the list even if that LEA or ESA does not use IDEA funds for CEIS (voluntary or required). If the ESAs in your state do not have NCES identification numbers, please insert a -9 in this column.

In Column C2A, report whether each LEA or ESA was required to use 15% of IDEA 611 and 619 funds for CEIS due to significant disproportionality in SY 2009-10. Under 34 CFR §300.646(b)(2), LEAs (including ESAs) that are identified by the SEA as having significant disproportionality based on race and ethnicity in identification, placement, or disciplinary actions must use 15% of IDEA funds for CEIS.

In Column C2B, report the dollar (\$) amount that was reserved for CEIS in each LEA or ESA that was required to use IDEA funds for CEIS in SY 2009-10. **If the Column C2A response is “No” to indicate that the LEA or ESA was not required to reserve funds for CEIS, then a -9 should be reported in C2B for the amount reserved for required CEIS.**

Note: If the Column C2A response is “Yes” to indicate that the LEA or ESA was required to reserved funds for CEIS, then the figure in Column C2B and the percentage auto-calculated in Column C2C should equal 15% of the total LEA or ESA allocation for Sections 611 and 619 in FFY 2009.

Note: The figure reported in Column C2B should match the figure auto-calculated in Column A5.

In Column C2C, the percent of IDEA funds that the LEA or ESA was required to reserve for CEIS due to significant disproportionality in SY 2009-10 will be auto-calculated using the figures from Column C2B and Column A2B and Column A3B. Do not report any percentages in Column C2C. However, please verify the percentages that are auto-calculated are correct.

In Column C3A, report whether the LEA or ESA voluntarily used up to 15% of IDEA 611 and 619 funds for CEIS in SY 2009-10. Under 34 CFR §300.226, LEAs (including ESAs) may use up to, but not more than, 15% of IDEA funds for CEIS.

In Column C3B, report the dollar (\$) amount of funds reserved for voluntary CEIS during SY 2009-10. **If the Column C3A response is “No” to indicate that the LEA or ESA did not voluntarily reserve funds for CEIS, then a -9 should be reported in C3B for the amount reserved for voluntary CEIS.**

Note: If the Column C3A response is “Yes” to indicate that the LEA or ESA voluntarily used funds for CEIS, then the figure in Column C3B and the percentage auto-calculated in Column C3C should be less than or equal to 15% of the total LEA or ESA allocation for Sections 611 and 619 for FFY 2009.

In Column C3C, the percent of IDEA funds that the LEA or ESA used for voluntary CEIS during SY 2009-10 will be auto-calculated using the figures from Section C, Column C3B and Section A, Column A2B and Column A3B. Do not report any percentages in Column C3C. However, please verify the percentages that are auto-calculated are correct.

Specific Instructions for Section D: Number of Children Receiving Coordinated Early Intervening Services

For Section D, counts should cover the entire school year. Include children in grades kindergarten through 12.

In Column D1A, list the name of each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state. An LEA or ESA should be included in the list even if that LEA or ESA does not use IDEA funds for CEIS (voluntary or required).

In Column D1B, list the NCES identification number for each LEA and ESA that received a Section 611 or Section 619 subgrant from your state. An LEA or ESA should be included in the list even if that LEA or ESA does not use IDEA funds for CEIS (voluntary or required). If the ESAs in your state do not have NCES identification numbers, please insert a -9 in this column.

In Column D2, report the total number of children who received CEIS under IDEA at any point during the course of the reporting year. This is an unduplicated count. This count should include children who received CEIS regardless of whether the Part B funds to support the services were required to be set aside or voluntarily set aside. A child should be included in this count whether the child received CEIS and was later determined to be eligible for special education and related services during the same or subsequent reporting year or was not determined eligible for special education and related services.

In Column D3, report the total number of children who received CEIS under IDEA anytime in the past two school years (including SY 2007-08, SY 2008-09, and SY 2009-10) and received special education and related services in 2009-10. This is an unduplicated count.

STATE: _____

TABLE 8

REPORT ON IDEA PART B MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REDUCTION (34 CFR §300.205(a)) AND COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (34 CFR §300.226)
 FFY 2009

SECTION A. LEA ALLOCATIONS

| A1A. LEA/ESA Name | A1B. LEA/ESA NCES ID # ¹ | A2. The total LEA/ESA allocation for Section 611 of IDEA (dollars \$) | | | A3. The total LEA/ESA allocation for Section 619 of IDEA (dollars \$) | | | A4. Total LEA/ESA allocation for Sections 611 and 619 of IDEA for FFY 2009 ² | A5. 15% of the total LEA/ESA allocation for Sections 611 and 619 of IDEA for FFY 2009 ² |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | A2A. FFY 2008 | A2B. FFY 2009 | A2C. Increase in LEA/ESA allocations from FFY 2008 to FFY 2009 ² | A3A. FFY 2008 | A3B. FFY 2009 | A3C. Increase in LEA/ESA allocations from FFY 2008 to FFY 2009 ² | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

¹ The NCES ID numbers are also used to identify LEAs when entering data into the EDEN system.

² THESE FIGURES WILL BE AUTO-CALCULATED. DO NOT REPORT FIGURES IN THIS COLUMN.

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION/REVISION
 CURRENT DATE: _____

STATE: _____

TABLE 8

REPORT ON IDEA PART B MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REDUCTION (34 CFR §300.205(a)) AND COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (34 CFR §300.226)
 FFY 2009

SECTION B. MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REDUCTION

LEA/ESA Determinations: What year's data were used to make the LEA/ ESA determinations in your state? _____

| B1A. LEA/ESA Name | B1B. LEA/ESA NCES ID #³ | B2. For each LEA/ ESA, specify the determination under 34 CFR § 300.600(a)(2) that controls whether the LEA may be able to reduce MOE during SY 2009-2010. | B3. Reduction of local and/or State funds taken pursuant to Section 613(a)(2)(C) by the LEA/ESA during SY 2009- 10 (dollar \$ amount) | B4. Percent of the available reduction taken by LEA /ESA during SY 2009-10 (PERCENT)⁴ |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

³ These NCES ID numbers are also used to identify LEAs when entering data into the EDEN system.

⁴ THESE PERCENTAGES WILL BE AUTO-CALCULATED. DO NOT REPORT FIGURES IN THIS COLUMN.

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION/REVISION
 CURRENT DATE: _____

STATE: _____

TABLE 8

REPORT ON IDEA PART B MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT REDUCTION (34 CFR §300.205(a)) AND COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (34 CFR §300.226)
 FFY 2009

SECTION C. PROVISION OF COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (CEIS)

| C1A. LEA/ESA Name | C1B. LEA/ESA NCES ID # ⁵ | C2. Required CEIS | | | C3. Voluntary CEIS | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | C2A. Was the LEA/ESA required to use 15% of funds for CEIS due to significant disproportionality in SY 2009-10? (Y/N) | C2B. Amount reserved for required CEIS in the LEA /ESA in SY 2009-10 (dollars \$) | C2C. Percent taken for required CEIS during SY 2009-10 (PERCENT) ⁶ | C3A. Did the LEA/ESA voluntarily use up to 15% of IDEA 611 and 619 fund for CEIS in SY 2009-10? (Y/N) | C3B. Amount reserved for voluntary CEIS in SY 2009-10 (dollars \$) | C3C. Percent taken for voluntary CEIS during SY 2009-10 (PERCENT) ⁶ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

⁵ These NCES ID numbers are also used to identify LEAs when entering data into the EDEN system.

⁶ THESE PERCENTAGES WILL BE AUTO-CALCULATED. DO NOT REPORT FIGURES IN THIS COLUMN.

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION/REVISION
 CURRENT DATE: _____

